



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,123	05/25/2001	Bernhard Alphonso Ziegner	17539	1456

7590 06/03/2003

Tyco Technology Resources
Suite 450
4550 New Linden Hill Road
Wilmington, DE 19808-2952

EXAMINER

KOBERT, RUSSELL MARC

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2829

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/864,123

Applicant(s)

ZIEGNER ET AL.

Examiner

Russell M Kobert

Art Unit

2829

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Russell M Kobert.

(3) _____.

(2) Darius Gambino.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Buffet et al (6477057) and Kosugi (5500556).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative, Mr. Gambino, presented arguments regarding the reading of claim 1 to the art of record. Although Applicant's representative made arguments regarding the lack of a "dielectric substrate layer" disclosed within the art of record, the Examiner respectfully disagreed. Applicants' representative will submit formal arguments in response to the Office Action mailed on April 11, 2003.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required